Case: 25-4476, 07/21/2025, DktEntry: 2.1, Page 1 of 3

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

In re DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States, et al.,

No. 25-\_\_\_\_

Petitioners-Defendants.

## **CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 CERTIFICATE**

The undersigned counsel certifies that the following is the information required by Circuit Rule 27-3:

## (1) Attorneys' contact information

<u>Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees/Respondents-Real Parties in Interest:</u>

Stacey M. Leyton (sleyton@altber.com)

Barbara J. Chisholm (bchisholm@altber.com)

Danielle Leonard (dleonard@altber.com)

Altshuler Berzon LLP

177 Post Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, CA 94108

(415) 421-7151

Attorneys for All Union and Non-Profit Organization Plaintiffs

Elena Goldstein (egoldstein@democracyforward.org)

Skye Perryman (sperryman@democracyforward.org)

Democracy Forward Foundation

P.O. Box 34553

Washington, D.C. 20043

(202) 448-9090

Attorneys for All Union and Non-Profit Organization Plaintiffs (except NRDC) and for Plaintiffs City of Chicago, IL; Martin Luther King, Jr. County, WA; Harris County, TX; and City of Baltimore, MD

Case: 25-4476, 07/21/2025, DktEntry: 2.1, Page 2 of 3

Lead Counsel for Defendants-Appellants/Petitioners-Defendants:

Courtney L. Dixon (courtney.dixon@usdoj.gov)

Maxwell A. Baldi (maxwell.baldi@usdoj.gov)

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Division, Appellate Staff

950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20530

(202) 532-0211

(2) Existence and nature of the emergency

The district court entered an order compelling the federal government to pro-

duce voluminous privileged documents to plaintiffs' counsel and the district court.

Specifically, the district court compelled the government to produce plans developed

by federal agencies about potential future reorganizations or reductions in their work-

forces. The government's compliance deadline to produce these plans, subject to a

protective order, is noon PDT on Wednesday, July 23, 2025. Production would be an

irreversible step that "would seriously undermine agency operations" across the entire

Executive Branch.

(3) Notice to opposing parties

Prior to filing this motion, the government contacted lead counsel for plaintiffs

and informed them of the government's intent to seek a stay pending mandamus and

administrative stay. Plaintiffs are opposed to the relief sought in this motion. Upon

filing this motion, the government will provide a service copy to plaintiffs' counsel via

email.

2

Case: 25-4476, 07/21/2025, DktEntry: 2.1, Page 3 of 3

(4) Relief sought in district court

The government requested that the district court stay any order compelling

production pending final resolution of any petition for mandamus relief or at least to

stay any such order for seven days to allow for orderly appellate review. Dkt. 208 at

18.; see Fed. R. App. P. 8(a)(1)(A). By ordering production without addressing that re-

quest, the district court implicitly refused to grant a stay.

/s/ Maxwell A. Baldi

MAXWELL A. BALDI

3